

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

MARY GILES
Plaintiff,

v.

**PALOMAR SPECIALTY INSURANCE
COMPANY,**
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:19-cv-03649
JURY**

AGREED MOTION TO ABATE PENDING OUTCOME OF APPRAISAL

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Defendant Palomar Specialty Insurance Company (“Palomar”) and Plaintiff Mary Giles file this Agreed Motion to Abate Pending Outcome of Appraisal and show the Court as follows:

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. This case involves a dispute over the cost necessary to make repairs as part of a residential property insurance claim for storm damages with an August 26, 2017 date of loss (Hurricane Harvey). Palomar issued Property Policy No. PIC-11821-1 to Plaintiff Mary Giles (“Giles” or “Plaintiff”). The Policy insured the property located at 13514 Lakecrest Drive, Cypress, Texas 77429 (“the Property”) and was in effect from December 13, 2016 through December 13, 2017.

2. Plaintiff submitted Claim No. PSI-98803 on August 30, 2017. Palomar assigned an adjuster to investigate the claim. Based on the results of the claim investigation, Palomar issued a letter to Giles denying the claim for no wind or hail damage as well as excluded flood damages.

On May 30, 2019, Plaintiff’s attorney issued his pre-suit demand letter.

On July 19, 2019, Defendant invoked appraisal and designated its appraiser.

On August 22, 2019, Plaintiff's Original Petition was filed.

3. The Policy's applicable appraisal provisions state as follows:

7. Appraisal

- b) If **you** or **we** fail to agree on the actual cash value (including the replacement costs and depreciation/obsolescence) or the **incurred property damage of your claimed loss**, either **you** or **we** may make a written demand for appraisal. The appraisal shall be made strictly in accord with the terms of this appraisal clause. Neither **you** nor **we** may assign the right to demand appraisal, whether before or after loss or damage. Any assignment shall be void.
- c)
 - 1) Within 20 days of the receipt of a written demand for appraisal, **you** and **we** each shall:
 - (i) appoint a qualified individual person as an appraiser; and
 - (ii) notify the other in writing of the appraiser's name and contact information.
 - 2) In order for a person to be qualified to act as an appraiser, the person must be competent, independent, neutral and impartial. A person:
 - (i) who has performed, or who is employed by an entity which has performed any work or a person who has provided any service for either **your** or **us** in relation to any **claimed loss** under this policy, whether or not such work or service has been or will be paid; or
 - (ii) who has performed or may perform, or who is employed by an entity which has performed or may perform repairs or replacement of **your** property;shall not be qualified to serve as an appraiser.

* * *

12. Lawsuits Against Us

- a) No suit or action can be brought unless the policy provisions have been complied with. A suit brought against **us** must be filed within 2 years and 1 day after the cause of action accrues.
- b) If **you** disagree with **our** claim decision, **you** can file suit:
 - 1) 60 days after **we** receive **your** notice of disagreements under **Section I – Conditions**, item 3.c); or
 - 2) if **we** do not request information from **you** within 15 days after **we** receive **your** notice of disagreements; or
 - 3) if **we** do not notify **you** in writing of **our** decision on **your** disagreements within the time **we** are allowed under **Section I – Conditions**, item 3.c)4); or
 - 4) after **you** receive **our** decision on **your** disagreements; or

- 5) if it is necessary to prevent the statute of limitations from expiring.
- c) **You** can file suit before or after appraisal is requested. **You** and **we** agree that a suit must be abated if appraisal is requested until appraisal is complete.
- d) **If You File Suit Before Complying With Policy Provisions.**
 - 1) If **you** file suit before **you** comply with the policy provisions, including providing notice of **your** disagreements, **you** and **we** agree:
 - (i) the suit must be abated until **you** comply with the policy provisions, unless compliance is no longer possible; and
 - (ii) **our** duties under **Disagreements With Our Claim Decision (Section I – Conditions, item 3.c))** stop until **we** receive notice the suit is abated.
 - 2) **You** comply with the policy provisions under **Disagreements With Our Claim Decision** when **we**:
 - (i) receive notice of **your** disagreements;
 - (ii) receive the information **we** request from **you**, if any; and
 - (iii) notify **you** of **our** decision or **our** time to do so under **Section I – Conditions, item 3.c)4)** has passed.

4. The parties are now in the appraisal process. Consequently, Palomar and Mary Giles request that the Court stay this litigation until after the completion of the appraisal in accordance with the Policy provision cited directly above.

II. ARGUMENTS AND ANALYSIS

The Court should abate the matter-pending appraisal.

5. Judicial economy is best served by abating this matter until such time as the appraisal process is complete. *Parra v. State Farm Lloyds*, 7:14-CV-691, 2015 WL 12777192, at *3 (S.D. Tex. Apr. 28, 2015). Moving forward with discovery related to the alleged breach of contract and extra-contractual causes of action when a condition precedent to the breach-of-contract claim has not been satisfied is a waste of the parties' and the Court's resources. *Parra*, 2015 WL 12777192 *3. Moreover, once appraisal has been invoked, as it has here, the parties are contractually obligated to complete the appraisal process to determine the amount of the loss. Consequently, this case should be abated pending appraisal. The proper remedy to enforce the appraisal condition of Palomar's policy is abatement of the case. *Parra*, 2015 WL 12777192 *3;

Lidawi v. Progressive County Mut. Ins. Co., 112 S.W.3d 725, 735 (Tex. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 2003, no pet.). As a result of Palomar demanding appraisal of the amount of the loss, Plaintiff is contractually required to comply with appraisal and the case should be abated while the process is pending in accordance with the Policy provisions cited in Paragraph 3 above, and consistent with the case law cited in this paragraph – Paragraph 5.

III. CONCLUSION AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

6. Defendant Palomar Specialty Insurance Company and Plaintiff Mary Giles respectfully ask the Court to abate this lawsuit until the completion of the appraisal process.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ George Arnold

George H. Arnold, Attorney-in-Charge

State Bar No. 00783559

garnold@thompsoncoe.com

Susan Sparks Usery

State Bar No. 18880100

susery@thompsoncoe.com

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, LLP

One Riverway, Suite 1400

Houston, Texas 77056

Telephone: (713) 403-8210

Facsimile: (713) 403-8299

And

Michael L. Schneiderman

State Bar No. 24033043

mschneiderman@postonlawfirmpllc.com

POSTON LAW FIRM, PLLC

6745 Calmont Avenue

Fort Worth, Texas 76116

Telephone: (817) 697-3492

Facsimile: (817) 697-3677

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT PALOMAR
SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY**

By: /s/ Shane McClelland *w/ permission

Shane McClelland

State Bar No. 24046383

shane@hmtrial.com

THE LAW OFFICE OF SHANE MCCLELLAND

440 Cobia Drive, Suite 101

Katy, Texas 77494

Telephone: (713) 987-7107

Facsimile: (832) 827-4207

**ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF
MARY GILES**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 4th day of December, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

Shane McClelland

THE LAW OFFICE OF SHANE MCCLELLAND

440 Cobia Drive, Suite 101

Katy, Texas 77494

shane@hmtrial.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

/s/ George Arnold

George Arnold